

TRANSPORT FOR THE NORTH

IT Access Management Security

Internal audit report 3.23/24

Final

16 January 2024

This report is solely for the use of the persons to whom it is addressed. To the fullest extent permitted by law, RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP will accept no responsibility or liability in respect of this report to any other party.

THE POWER OF BEING UNDERSTOOD AUDIT | TAX | CONSULTING



1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Why we completed this audit

Following two previous Cyber Security audits in 2020/21 and 2021/22, alongside a General Data Protection Regulation audit in 2022/23, we have conducted an IT Access Management Security review as part of the 2023/24 internal audit plan. This included the risk associated with control over staff and third party access to organisational systems and data held accessed through SharePoint sites and the Virtual Private Network (VPN).

Transport for the North (TfN) serves as a partnership bringing together transportation authorities and business leaders from the North with national transportation entities such as Network Rail, National Highways, and Central Government. Therefore, there is a heavy reliance on bi-lateral sharing of information and regular employment of external contractors in day-to-day operations. This audit focused on controls over the provisioning, monitoring and removal of access to TfN's systems and data, and how access security controls are applied in practice to staff and third parties.

Conclusion

We identified that TfN has key controls in place to manage staff and third party access to TfN data and systems. However, some control weaknesses exist and require prompt management attention, particularly concerning the evaluation of third parties prior to granting them access to organisational data and the lack of ongoing verification to verify the suitability of staff and third party access. We also identified an issue with the management of privileged accounts, which was rectified during the audit. This was given immediate focus and attention by management to address this during our fieldwork, recognising this as a potential risk to the organisation and therefore this has been considered when determining our overall opinion. Action is also required to improve control over access provision and revocation, particularly with regard to contractors. Moreover, there is a lack of formalised and documented policies and procedures on access management for staff and third parties, despite a process existing in practice.

Internal audit opinion:

Taking account of the issues identified, the Board can take reasonable assurance that the controls upon which the organisation relies to manage this risk are suitably designed, consistently applied and effective.



However, we have identified issues that need to be addressed in order to ensure that the control framework is effective in managing the identified risk.

Key findings

We identified the following findings, resulting in four medium priority management actions being agreed. We also agreed four low priority management action which is detailed in section 2 below.



Third party Assessments

We were informed that no third parties had been onboarded over the last year and were unable to obtain evidence of the third party onboarding procedure being followed in practice. The onboarding process is defined within the IT Security Policy and IT Policy, however there is a lack of clarity on roles and responsibilities regarding this procedure. Privacy Impact Assessments have been conducted by the Legal team in the past but, third parties' security arrangements and associated risks are not assessed prior to granting them access to organisational systems and data. There is an increased risk of data breaches and third parties becoming sources of cyber-attacks. (Medium, MA1)



User Access Reviews

User access reviews are conducted primarily on a licensing basis. Whilst monitoring of licences is generally good practice to ensure that the organisation is not overpaying for unused or unnecessary licences, user access reviews against current employees should be conducted on a regular basis to ensure that all user access is appropriate and commensurate with job roles. Further, verification of third parties with access to SharePoint sites should be conducted periodically by SharePoint site owners. Not doing so increases the risk of unauthorised access to organisational data. (Medium, MA2)

Identity and Access Management – Policies and Procedures

Whilst there is a process in place for granting, amending, and revoking access to organisational systems, there is no policy in place to document this procedure. Further, it is stated within the IT Security Policy that staff will be required to undertake appropriate training before being granted access to organisational systems; however, which training is required for specific systems has not been defined. Additionally, we noted there is no formalised and documented process for granting third parties with access to SharePoint sites; access is granted on an ad hoc basis by designated internal owners of SharePoint sites. This may lead to user errors, inconsistent procedures, and unauthorised access to organisational data. Consequently, there is an increased risk of compromise to data integrity or data confidentiality. (Medium, MA3)

Identity and Access Management – Contractor Accounts

Accounts for contractors are not technically enforced to expire in line with leaving dates, which are recorded on new starter forms when the contractor starts work. This may result in unauthorised access to organisational systems and data, potentially leading to data breaches, insider threats, or misuse of privileges. (Medium, MA4)



Privileged Account Management

Within Azure Active Directory (AAD), we noted a global administrator account which was not separated from the user's standard account. Having administrator accounts separated from standard user accounts reduces the risk of unintentional actions, narrows the attack surface on administrator accounts, and isolates administrative privileges to reduce the potential impact on the organisation in the event of a regular user account becoming compromised. This issue was corrected during our fieldwork and we have therefore not included a management action.

We noted the following controls to be adequately designed and operating effectively:



Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) is enabled for all members of staff, including those accessing organisational data via the VPN.



There is a regular review of the validity, usage, and allocation of Microsoft 365 E3 licences held by the organisation.



The organisation's risk management approach has been clearly documented, and a dynamic tool is used to record, review, update, and manage risks across the organisation.

2. DETAILED FINDINGS AND ACTIONS

This report has been prepared by exception. Therefore, we have included in this section, only those areas of weakness in control or examples of lapses in control identified from our testing and not the outcome of all internal audit testing undertaken.

Area: Third party Assessments						
Control	 The IT Security Policy and IT Policy outline the procedure for third party assessments; this includes, but is not limited to: A Data Assessment to determine security implications and control requirements, where there is a business need for working with external parties requiring access to TfN's information and information processing facilities. A business case agreed between the requesting manager and the Information Technology Manager for third party suppliers with direct access to organisational IT systems. 	Assessment: Design Compliance	√ ×			
	 Demonstration of compliance with ISO27001 and, where this requirement cannot be fulfilled, a risk assessment completed with approval from the Data Protection Officer (DPO) and risk acceptance from the Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO). 					
	It is stated within the IT Security Policy that the IT and Information Department, led by the Information Technology Manager, are responsible for supporting the implementation of this Policy, including 'discussion' of the adequacy of third party supplier data security controls.					
	Risk assessments are to be conducted when a third party cannot demonstrate ISO27001 compliance.					
	Third parties are also required sign a Data Processing Agreement (DPA) when a supplier is processing personal data.					
Findings / Implications	We noted that the IT Security Policy and IT Policy outline that risk assessments are to be conducted only we demonstrate ISO27001 compliance. Whilst ISO27001 is a widely-recognised international standard for Inform Systems (ISMS), it may not cover all risks or requirements of TfN. Conducting risk assessments on all suppl ISO27001 certification, ensures a more comprehensive understanding of potential risks facing the organisat parties.	mation Security Manag iers, including those wi ion in the context of thi	jement ith rd			
	We were informed that no new partners had been onboarded since the Information Technology Manager too unable to obtain evidence demonstrating the third party onboarding procedure nor any third party risk assess practice. However, we did confirm that Privacy Impact Assessments are conducted by the Legal team to ass and access to SharePoint and obtained examples of Data Processing Agreements that are in place with third	sments being followed sess the impact of shar	in			
	Further, there is no centralised tracking of whether these required checks had been completed, as there is n Therefore, the organisation does not have effective oversight of whether the risks associated with third party systems and data have been assessed. Third parties with inadequate security measures may become a sou attacks, and there is a risk of GDPR non-compliance if the organisation has not assessed the security of data	access to organisation irce of data breaches c	or cyber-			

	Additionally, there are no security policies and conditional access Inadequate access control increases the likelihood of unauthorise security of the sites and the integrity and confidentiality of the data	d users gaining access to SharePoint		
Management Action 1	 security of the sites and the integrity and confidentiality of the data Management will: Consolidate the procedure for third party assessments into a single policy, to ensure the process for onboarding and providing access to third parties is clearly outlined, alongside TfN roles and responsibilities in this process; Amend this policy to ensure that risk assessments are conducted for all third parties, rather than just those lacking ISO27001 certification; Ensure organisational policies and procedures on third party assessments are adhered to before granting access to organisational systems and data; Create and regularly update a third party register to detail: Notes on contract terms and the role of the third party; 	Responsible Owner: Danny Chapman, Information Technology Manager Gavin Legg, Governance, Data Protection and Contracts Lawyer	Date: 30 April 2024	Priority: Medium
	 The internal manager of this third party relationship (contract owner); The level of access third parties have to organisational systems and data, including whether they process personal data; Whether a DPA is in place; A summary of the business case for third party access; Whether the third party complies with ISO27001; and Results of data assessments and risk assessments. Investigate what conditional access policies can be applied to third party access to SharePoint, such as Multi-factor Authentication, and apply conditional 			

Area: Third party Assessments

access policies where possible and where not, consider what additional access controls can be established.

Area: User Ac	cess Reviews			
Control	User access reviews are conducted primarily on a licensing basis, rather than to ensure user access is appropriate and commensurate with job roles.		Assessment:	
			Design	×
			Compliance	N/A
Findings / Implications	Whilst monitoring of licences is generally good practice to ensure licences, user access reviews should be conducted on a regular b with job roles. During our review, we noted the following issues re	pasis to ensure that all user access is app		
	 199 Azure Active Directory (AAD) 'guest' (external) accout 169 AAD 'member' (internal) accounts which could not be provided. These included: Accounts created for shared or temporary purpose Deskspace'; Accounts were merely attributed to devices, i.e., ' Test accounts had been kept active and not disate 'test.contentgate'. We noted a number of accounts named as SharePoint site management indicated that some are contractors, and the who had left the organisation who were designated as Sh AAD. There are no user access reviews conducted on SharePoint even if their current role no longer requires this Without user access reviews, there is a risk that former employee systems or data beyond that which is necessary or required for the organisational data. Additionally, without reviewing and validating security incidents remain undetected. 	e uniquely attributed to a current member ses, i.e., 'Facilities', 'Christmas', and 'Res Apple Device' and 'Apple ID'; and oled/deleted when not in use, for example the owners which we could not link to emp erefore would not appear on the provided arePoint site owners, and three of the fiv wint sites; instead, access is revoked whe unts, it will not highlight third party partner is. s, contractors, or external third parties re eir role. Consequently, this increases the	of staff, as per the H erve a Manchester (e, 'TfN Account', 'Tes loyees on the HR lis I HR listing, five were e also remained ena n accounts have bee s that continue to ac tain access to organ e risk of unauthorised	IR listing Office stMfa', and ting. Whilst individuals abled on en inactive ccess isational l access to
Management Action 2	Management will conduct user access reviews on a regular basis, by consulting with organisational units and SharePoint site owners to validate that user access aligns with job roles and responsibilities. Documentation of the review and any actions taken to adjust access will be maintained to enhance accountability and for audit purposes.	Responsible Owner: Danny Chapman, Information Technology Manager	Date: 29 March 2024	Priority: Medium

Area: Identity	and Access Management – Policies and Procedures			
Control	Whilst there is a process in place for granting, amending, and rev		Assessment:	
	there is no documented policy in place to detail this procedure. Further, it is stated within the IT Security Policy that staff will be required to undertake appropriate training before being granted access to organisational systems. However, which training is required for specific systems has not been defined.		Design	×
			Compliance	N/A
Implications	delayed access to systems and resources, inappropriate user access permissions for their roles, or access not being revoked in a t manner. Additionally, the absence of guidance on which training should be provided prior to granting users with access to certain organisational systems and data may result in staff not receiving suitable training. This may lead to user errors, inconsistent proced and reduced productivity resulting from users' unfamiliarity with system navigation and functionality. Consequently, there is an incre- risk of compromise to data integrity or data confidentiality. Additionally, we noted there is no formalised process for granting third parties access to SharePoint sites. Whilst there are designat owners who are responsible for managing access to individual sites, the process for granting, amending, and revoking third party are to these SharePoint sites was unclear and not formally defined within a policy. Hence, there is an increased risk of inconsistent procedures, unauthorised access to organisational data, and compromise of data integrity or confidentiality.			
Management Action 3	 Management will create a policy detailing the roles and responsibilities, and processes for: Onboarding users (including training prerequisites), amending user access, and terminating user access; and Granting, amending, and revoking third party access to SharePoint sites. This policy will be reviewed and approved by the highest level of delegated authority before being disseminated to the relevant stakeholders. Management should also ensure this defined 	Responsible Owner: Danny Chapman, Information Technology Manager	Date: 31 March 2024	Priority: Medium

Control	Contractor leaving dates are recorded on the relevant new starter form, but accounts for contractors are		Assessment:	
	not technically enforced to expire in line with these leave dates.	technically enforced to expire in line with these leave dates.		×
			Compliance	N/A
Findings / Implications	If contractor leaving dates are not enforced, there is a risk that contractors retain access to organisational resources for a longer durat than required. This may result in unauthorised access to organisational systems and data, potentially leading to data breaches, inside threats, or misuse of privileges.			
Management Action 4	 Management will: Set contractors' access to expire in line with their contract end (leaving) date; Ensure the contract owner and contractor are suitably notified when the account is approaching expiry; Where access is required to be extended, this will be requested through a Service Desk ticket with suitable approval obtained; and 	Responsible Owner: Danny Chapman, Information Technology Manager	Date: 15 March 2024	Priority: Medium
	 Ensure that the new Access Control Policy (as per Management Action 4) appropriately reflects this 			

Area: Incident	t Management			
Control	There is an Incident Management Procedure documented w incidents are recorded on the Service Desk. Incidents are re		Assessment:	
	the Senior Information Risk Officer (SIRO) to identify any les and prevalence of cyber-related incidents.		Design	×
			Compliance	N/A
Implications	strategy for the incident management process in the future. Teaming exercise (i.e. an exercise to emulate a real-world c Without conducting regular security and incident response to operating as expected; testing helps identify gaps or missing miscommunications, or resource shortages. Identifying and in the event of an actual cyber security incident.	yber attacker), this will not also test the ests, there is a risk that the incident ma g elements within the plan, such as inc	e incident management anagement processes m omplete contact lists, pr	procedure. nay not be rocedural errors,
Management	Management will develop a strategy for periodic testing of	Responsible Owner:	Date:	Priority:
Action 5	the incident management procedure to validate its effectiveness, ensuring that there is a lessons learnt exercise following these tests to continually improve the plan.	Danny Chapman, Information Technology Manager	29 March 2024	Low

Area: Risk Ma	nagement		
Control	The organisation has a risk register which is regularly reviewed and updated to reflec organisation.	t the risks facing the Assessment :	
	organioation.	Design	\checkmark
		Compliance	×
Findings / Implications	The risk rating for 'Data Access Reviews', described as "risk of breach of data access data from TfN's Sharepoint/Azure Systems", should be re-assessed in light of the find findings relating to access management within this audit, particularly in respect to the partners. Whilst the distributed authority to allow access to SharePoint sites forms a p control over who can access SharePoint sites and the 'low threat' rating associated w actual risk accepted. Underestimating this risk may lead to inadequate allocation of re Subsequently, this may lead to unanticipated outcomes if this risk becomes actualise	lings highlighted by this audit. We have provisioning and removal of access to t part of TfN's operating model it does reli with this risk item could be deemed lower esources to manage this risk effectively.	noted severa hird party nquish some than the
Management Action 6	Management will re-assess the risk rating associated with Data Access Reviews to ensure that it accurately reflects the current risk level, and ensure there are appropriate plans in place to mitigate this risk or formally accept a higher level of risk.	Information 29 February	Priority: Low

Area: Identity	and Access Management – Leavers			
Control	User accounts are disabled, not deleted, when no longer required	d.	Assessment:	
			Design	×
			Compliance	N/A
Findings / Implications	Upon inspection of the AAD user listing, we noted user accounts are disabled instead of deleted when users no longer require access. Therefore, the organisation has 1,200 disabled accounts within AAD. Disabled accounts could be exploited by malicious actors if they are not deleted, as they could be reactivated and used for fraudulent activity. There is an increased risk of unauthorised or inappropriate access to organisational systems and data leading to a breach of data integrity or confidentiality. It should be noted, however, there are business cases for keeping accounts disabled instead of deleting them, such as for staff members on temporary leave, or data retention (i.e., emails, documents audit logs) for legal or compliance purposes.			
Management	Management will:	Responsible Owner:	Date:	Priority:
Action 7	 Delete user accounts when no longer in use; Where there is a business case for accounts to remain disabled (i.e., not deleted), ensure requests are raised through the Service Desk as part of the leaver request; and Establish clear policies and procedures for managing disabled accounts in order to reduce security risks and ensure they are managed appropriately based on organisational requirements. 	Danny Chapman, Information Technology Manager	29 February 2024	Low

Control	Global administrator accounts are used to manage SharePoint sites and have access to Virtual		Assessment:	
	Machines via the Virtual Private Network (VPN).		Design	×
			Compliance	N/A
Findings /	We noted violations of the principle of least privilege in the cont	ext of global administrator account u	sage:	
Implications	 Two instances where global administrator accounts were Two instances where global administrator accounts had Machines (VMs). 			ss Virtual
	In both instances, the use of global administrator account permit Therefore, this violates the key security principle of least priviled perform tasks should be granted, in order to reduce the risk of s Hence, using these accounts for tasks where global administrat	ge, which stipulates that only the min ecurity breaches and limit potential of	imum level of access re damage if a breach does	quired to s occur.
	organisational data and potential misuse of elevated access right			

APPENDIX A: CATEGORISATION OF FINDINGS

Categorisa	Categorisation of internal audit findings				
Priority	Definition				
Low	There is scope for enhancing control or improving efficiency and quality.				
Medium	Timely management attention is necessary. This is an internal control risk management issue that could lead to: Financial losses which could affect the effective function of a department, loss of controls or process being audited or possible reputational damage, negative publicity in local or regional media.				
High	Immediate management attention is necessary. This is a serious internal control or risk management issue that may lead to: Substantial losses, violation of corporate strategies, policies or values, reputational damage, negative publicity in national or international media or adverse regulatory impact, such as loss of operating licences or material fines.				

The following table highlights the number and categories of management actions made as a result of this audit.

Risk	Control	Non		Agreed action	S
	design not effective	Compliance with controls	Low	Medium	High
Cyber disruption/attacks to the available information and technical infrastructure. Inappropriate user access to confidential information. Access may be limited for an unknown period of time.	6	2	4	4	0
Total			4	4	0

APPENDIX B: SCOPE

The scope below is a copy of the original document issued.

Scope of the review

The scope was planned to provide assurance on the controls and mitigations in place relating to the risks:

Objective of the review	Risks relevant to the scope of the review	Risk source
To provide assurance that the processes in place to manage user and third party access to systems and data are in line with good practice.	Cyber disruption/attacks to the available information and technical infrastructure. Inappropriate user access to confidential information. Access may be limited for an unknown period of time.	Risk Register

When planning the audit, the following areas for consideration and limitations were agreed:

The audit will consider the following;

1. Risk Management

- The risk management process (identification, assessment, treatment, monitoring).
- The risk posed by third party access to data TfN is responsible for is understood, mitigating controls and risk treatment plans have been established.

2. Identity and access management

- Definition or policy of identity and access management for staff accounts and third party access to SharePoint.
- Authorisation model and procedures (e.g. account creation, deletion and amendment) for staff users and third party access to SharePoint.
- Authentication for end user accounts, including password policy and Multi-factor Authentication (MFA).
- Restrictions to privileged accounts (e.g. administrative accounts) and access to the VPN (staff and any third parties that have access).
- Monitoring of account usage and accesses (for both staff and third party access).
- Use of service accounts and the privileges assigned to service accounts.
- Reviews of staff access and reviews of third party access to SharePoint are undertaken.

3. Security over third party access

- Third parties are assessed ahead of being granted access to SharePoint sites.
- Third parties are required to sign an Acceptable Use Policy and/or a Data Processing Agreement before access to SharePoint sites is granted.
- Security policies and conditional access policies are assigned to third parties when accessing SharePoint sites.

4. Incident management

- Incident management and reporting process, as well as lessons learnt.
- Detection of security breaches or unauthorised access attempts.

Limitations to the scope of the audit assignment:

- The scope of our work will be limited only to those areas that have been examined and reported and is not to be considered as a comprehensive review of all aspects of IT/cyber security.
- The approach taken for this review will be to validate the design of key controls and will not include all monitoring controls.
- We will be testing only selected key controls and on a sample basis only.
- We will not perform penetration tests and vulnerability assessments however we will review the results of tests undertaken by independent service providers.
- The information provided in the final report should not be considered to detail all errors or risks that may currently or in the future exist within the IT environment, and it will be necessary for management to consider the results and make their own judgement on the risks and the level of specialist computer audit coverage they require in order to provide assurance that these risks are minimised.
- The results of our work are reliant on the quality and completeness of the information provided to us.
- In addition, our work does not provide an absolute assurance that material error; loss or fraud does not exist.

Our work does not provide assurance that material error, loss or fraud do not exist.

Debrief held Final information received Draft report issued	8 and 27 November 2023 14 and 29 November 2023 21 November 2023 and 13 December	Internal audit Contacts	Lisa Randall, Head of Internal Audit (IA) Alex Hire, Associate Director (IA)
Revised draft report issued Responses received	13 December 2023 15 January 2024		Ciaran Barker, Assistant Manager (IA)
			Anna O'Keeffe, Director, Technology Risk Assurance (TRA)
			Wil Milligan, Manager (TRA)
			Tom Wilkinson, Senior Consultant (TRA)
			Muhammed Patel, Consultant (TRA)
Final report issued	16 January 2024	Client sponsor	Danny Chapman / Information Technology Manager
		Distribution	Danny Chapman / Information Technology Manager

We are committed to delivering an excellent client experience every time we work with you. If you have any comments or suggestions on the quality of our service and would be happy to complete a short feedback questionnaire, please contact your RSM client manager or email <u>admin.south.rm@rsmuk.com</u>

.

rsmuk.com

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our review and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Actions for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact. This report, or our work, should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound commercial practices. We emphasise that the responsibility for a sound system of internal controls rests with management and our work should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses that may exist. Neither should our work be relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud and irregularity should there be any.

Our report is prepared solely for the confidential use of Transport for the North, and solely for the purposes set out herein. This report should not therefore be regarded as suitable to be used or relied on by any other party wishing to acquire any rights from RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP for any purpose or in any context. Any third party which obtains access to this report or a copy and chooses to rely on it (or any part of it) will do so at its own risk. To the fullest extent permitted by law, RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP will accept no responsibility or liability in respect of this report to any other party and shall not be liable for any loss, damage or expense of whatsoever nature which is caused by any person's reliance on representations in this report.

This report is released to you on the basis that it shall not be copied, referred to or disclosed, in whole or in part (save as otherwise permitted by agreed written terms), without our prior written consent.

We have no responsibility to update this report for events and circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales no. OC389499 at 6th floor, 25 Farringdon Street, London EC4A 4AB.